

MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC
Patent Attorneys
4140 Parklake Avenue, Suite 600, Raleigh, NC 27612
P.O. Box 37428, Raleigh, NC 27627
Telephone 919-854-1400
Facsimile 919-854-1401

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
FEB 27 2008

TELECOPIER TRANSMISSION
COVER SHEET

Date: February 27, 2008

Serial Number: 10/084,578

MBSS Ref. 9250-29

Telecopier No.: 571-273-8300

To: Examiner William H. Beisner
United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO)
From: David D. Beatty

Number of Pages: 2

Return fax to: Kirsten Carlos

If there is a problem with this transmission, please call Kirsten at (919) 854-1391. Our fax number is (919) 854-1401.

Dear Examiner Beisner -

Please see the enclosed correspondence from David D. Beatty.

Sincerely-

Kirsten S. Carlos

Legal Assistant to Robert N. Crouse, Esq. and David D. Beatty, Esq.

Confidentiality Note

The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the address above via the United States Postal Service. THANK YOU.

FEB 27 2008

Attorney Docket No. 9250-29

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: DiGuseppi et al.
Serial No.: 10/084,578
Filed: February 27, 2002
For: **INTEGRATED FILTRATION AND DETECTION DEVICE**

Confirmation No.: 6023
Group Art Unit: 1797
Examiner: William H. Beisner

Date: February 27, 2008

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

Sir:

Tentative Participants:

1. Examiner William H. Beisner2. David D. Beatty

3. _____

4. _____

Proposed Date of Interview: TBA Proposed Time: TBA

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic(2) ☐ Personal(3) ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit to be shown or demonstrated ☐ YES☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description:

ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/Prior Fig. #s	Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
1) <u>Rej. § 103</u>	<u>1, 35, 36</u>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Regarding Claims 1 and 35 Friedman does not satisfy deficiencies of Gordon as discussed in Applicant's prior Response.

Regarding Claim 36, the liquid culturing medium is a positively recited component of the claimed integrated filtration and detection device. Therefore, the liquid culturing medium is not merely a "material worked" on, and must be accorded patentable weight.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature_____
Examiner/SPE Signature